REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the title of the invention as not descriptive. The Examiner rejected claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,212,640 to Abdelnur, et al. (Abdelnur). In this Amendment, Applicants have amended claims 1, 13, 19, and 22-24, but have not added or canceled any claim. Accordingly, claims 1-24 will be pending in the application after entry of this Amendment.

I. Objection to the Title

The Examiner objected to the title of the invention as not descriptive. Applicants have amended the specification to provide the title "Method and Apparatus for Automated Remote Volume Mounting." In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the title.

II. Rejection of claims 1-18 under §102(e)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-18 under §102(e) as being anticipated by Abdelnur. Claims 2-18 are dependent directly or indirectly on independent claim 1. Claim 1 recites a method that automatically mounts several remote volumes to a client. The method, without receiving a request from a user of the client to mount the volumes, requests of a first server the mounting of the volumes. The method returns by the first server a set of mounting parameters. The method automatically mounts the volumes using the returned parameters. After mounting, the volumes behave as if native to the client, even though the volumes are located remote to the client.

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest such a method. The Examiner cites column 5, line 61, to column 6, line 15, in Abdelnur to reject claim 1. The cited portion of Abdelnur describes a network file system (NFS). Abdelnur states

Apple Docket: P2520 Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007 that resources may be shared over the NFS. Abdelnur further states that a resource (i.e.,

software) physically linked to an NFS server may be "NFS mounted." However, the statement in

Abdelnur that resources (software) may be shared over a NFS does not disclose, teach, or even

suggest the limitations of claim 1, as recited above. For instance, Abdelnur does not disclose,

teach, or even suggest a method that automatically mounts several remote volumes to a client by:

(1) requesting of a first server the mounting of the volumes, without receiving a request from a

user of the client to mount the volumes, (2) returning by the first server a set of mounting

parameters, and (3) automatically mounting the volumes using the returned parameters.

Abdelnur also states that when a new resource (software) is "mounted" to the NFS, a new

entry is generated in a mount table automatically and is used to locate the resource whenever a

request for access to that resource is made by a client. Applicants respectfully submit that the

cited portion of Abdelnur cannot disclose the method of claim 1 because Abdelnur simply does

not discuss the automatic mounting of remote volumes to a client (i.e., without receiving a

request from a user of the client to mount the volumes). Moreover, Abdelnur does not disclose

automatically mounting the volumes using a set of returned mounting parameters. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur neither anticipates claim 1, nor otherwise renders

this claim invalid. As claims 2-18 are dependent on claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit that

Abdelnur neither anticipates, nor otherwise invalidates claims 2-18 for at least the reasons that

were discussed above in relation to claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of the §102(e) rejection of claims 1-18.

III. Rejection of claims 19-21 under §102(e)

Apple Docket: P2520 Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007

The Examiner rejected claims 19-21 under §102(e) as being anticipated by Abdelnur.

Claims 20-21 are dependent directly or indirectly on independent claim 19. Claim 19 recites a

system for automatically mounting volumes over a network. The system has a client configured

to automatically request the mounting of the volumes without the need for a user of the client to

request the mounting of the volumes. The system also has a server coupled to the client. The

server is configured to return a mounting command block to the client.

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest

such a system. The Examiner cites column 5, line 61, to column 6, line 15, to reject claim 19. As

discussed above in relation to claim 1, the cited portion of Abdelnur describes a network file

system (NFS). Abdelnur states that resources may be shared over the NFS. However, the

statement in Abdelnur that resources may be shared over a NFS does not disclose, teach, or even

suggest the limitations of claim 19, as recited above. For instance, Abdelnur does not disclose,

teach, or even suggest a system for automatically mounting volumes over a network where the

system has a client configured to automatically request the mounting without the need for a user

of the client to request the mounting. Moreover, the cited portion of Abdelnur does not disclose,

teach, or even suggest a server that is configured to return a mounting command block to the

client, as recited in claim 19.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur neither anticipates claim 19,

nor otherwise renders this claim invalid. As claims 20-21 are dependent on claim 19, Applicants

respectfully submit that Abdelnur neither anticipates claims 20-21, nor otherwise renders these

10

claims invalid for at least the reasons that were discussed above for claim 19.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of the §102(e) rejection of claims 19-21.

Apple Docket: P2520 Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007

IV. Rejection of claim 22 under §102(e)

The Examiner rejected claim 22 under §102(e) as being anticipated by Abdelnur. Claim

22 recites an article that includes a computer readable medium that has stored instructions. When

executed, the instructions cause automatic mounting of several volumes to a client. The

instructions, without receiving a request from a user of the client to mount the volumes, request

of a first server the mounting of the volumes. The instructions return by the first server a set of

mounting parameters. The instructions automatically mount the volumes using the returned

parameters. After mounting, the volumes behave as if native to the client, even though the

volumes are located remote to the client.

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest the

limitations of claim 22. The Examiner cites column 5, line 61, to column 6, line 15, in Abdelnur

to reject claim 22. This cited portion of Abdelnur describes a network file system (NFS).

Abdelnur states that resources may be shared over the NFS. However, Abdelnur does not

disclose, teach, or even suggest the limitations of claim 22. For instance, Abdelnur does not

disclose, teach, or even suggest automatically mounting several volumes to a client without

receiving a request from a user of the client to mount the volumes. Moreover, Abdelnur does not

disclose, teach, or even suggest automatically mounting the volumes using a set of returned

mounting parameters. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur neither

11

anticipates claim 22, nor otherwise renders this claim invalid.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of the §102(e) rejection of claim 22.

V. Rejection of claim 23 under §102(e)

Apple Docket: P2520 Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007

The Examiner rejected claim 23 under §102(e) as being anticipated by Abdelnur. Claim

23 recites, in a computer system having a processor and memory, an apparatus for automatically

mounting several remote volumes to the computer system. The apparatus includes a plug-in

module configured to receive from a server a mounting command block. The mounting

command block includes volume-mounting parameters. The apparatus includes an API coupled

to the plug-in module and configured to automatically mount the volumes specified in the

mounting command block. The mounting is performed without the need for user intervention.

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest the

limitations of claim 23. The Examiner cites column 5, lines 5-40, and column 6, lines 16-40, in

Abdelnur to reject claim 23. The cited portions of Abdelnur describe Java applets being provided

by a web server. The cited portions further describe an application programming interface (API).

However, these portions of Abdelnur simply do not disclose, teach, or even suggest a computer

system apparatus for automatically mounting several remote volumes to a computer system that

includes:

a plug-in module configured to receive from a server a mounting command block;

• the mounting command block includes volume-mounting parameters;

• an API coupled to the plug-in module and configured to automatically mount the

volumes specified in the mounting command block; and

• the mounting is performed without the need for user intervention.

12

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest

several limitations recited in claim 23. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that

Abdelnur neither anticipates claim 23, nor otherwise renders this claim invalid.

Apple Docket: P2520 Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of the §102(e) rejection of claim 23.

IV. Rejection of claim 24 under §102(e)

The Examiner rejected claim 24 under §102(e) as being anticipated by Abdelnur. Claim

24 recites an apparatus for automatically mounting several volumes to a client. The apparatus

includes means for requesting of a first server the mounting of the volumes, without receiving a

request from a user of the client to mount the volumes. The apparatus includes means for

returning by the first server a set of mounting parameters. The apparatus also includes means for

automatically mounting the volumes using the returned parameters. After mounting, the volumes

behave as if native to the client, even though the volumes are located remote to the client.

Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest

such an apparatus. The Examiner cites column 5, line 61, to column 6, line 15, in Abdelnur to

reject claim 24. This cited portion of Abdelnur describes a network file system (NFS). Abdelnur

states that resources may be shared over the NFS. However, this portion of Abdelnur does not

disclose, teach, or even suggest the limitations of claim 24. For instance, Abdelnur does not

disclose, teach, or even suggest automatically mounting several volumes to a client, without

receiving a request from a user of the client to mount the volumes. Moreover, the cited portion of

Abdelnur does not disclose, teach, or even suggest automatically mounting the volumes using a

set of returned mounting parameters. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Abdelnur

13

neither anticipates claim 24, nor otherwise renders this claim invalid.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal

of the §102(e) rejection of claim 24.

Apple Docket: P2520

Attorney Docket: APLE.P0007 PTO Serial: 09/751,903

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all pending claims, namely claims 1-24, are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and objections is requested. Allowance is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/04/2004

By:

Andy T. Pho Registration No. 48,862

Stattler, Johansen & Adeli LLP P.O. Box 51860

Palo Alto, California 94303-0728 Telephone: (310) 785-0140 x303 Facsimile: (310) 785-9558